

Bill Randles – Invitation 10 December, 08:38 PM

Bill Randles

2018/12/10 08:38 PM

To: David Nathan; Jacob Prasch;

Dear David and Jacob, I have a proposal which I think will greatly promote some kind of healing and resolution to the recent rift and division engendered by the arguments swirling around the events of this autumn.

We would be willing to provide a venue and air tickets to both of you for a debate, as long as it remains on the scriptural issues only, and nothing else. Why not actually discuss the real issues, whether or not David has promoted heresy.

I suggest we be succinct and debate the issue of the statement "The Blood of Jesus will profit no one during the Millennium" and the other issue should be the statement, "The Father created nothing...". I am open to any other suggestions you two have.

We at believers in grace are willing to pay airfare and host a weekend of debate, with rules, an objective moderator, and all will be filmed, and perhaps even streamed.

Would you two be willing to do so? I think it would really put some of these issues and the strife that has swirled around them to rest, especially if you two can show everybody a Christian example of discussion, and that you can put the past behind, and really bless the body of Christ.

Please let me know ASAP and we will get this going. Imagine the joy and healing that could come if we could do this?

Sincerely awaiting your reply, Pastor Bill Randles

David Nathan Responds –10 December, 08:47 PM

Fwd: Re:

David Nathan

2018/12/14 07:00 AM

To: David Nathan;

Begin forwarded message:

From: David Nathan <david@bolm.co.za>
Date: 10 December 2018 at 20:47:43 SAST
To: Bill Randles <pastorbillrandles@gmail.com>
Cc: Jacob Prasch <morieljacob@gmail.com>
Subject: Re:

Dear Bill

I would welcome any attempt that will bring clarification of the issues expressed as concerns and reconciliation.

The Body has been hurt by this and I gladly accept your offer.

Sincerely,
David

For Moriel Facebook please / Acceptance Reply

Jacob Prasch

2018/12/10 09:55 PM

To: David Lister; marco quintana; GCR TV; Servus Christi; Sergio Martinez; Bill Randles; David Nathan;

Having called it heresy himself, I am perplexed why Bill Randles would not want to debate David Nathan in his own church for himself as he himself has already called David Nathan a heretic.

I certainly would however be willing to debate David Nathan over his teachings which I also determine to be heretical and false concerning the issues specified. The unedited video clips of David Nathan's teaching can be played and challenged by myself and defended by David Nathan in fair, open and moderated doctrinal debate. I am prepared to engage in this debate at the very first slot I have available to do so on my next scheduled visit to North Americas in early Spring.

In Christ,

JJ Prasch

David Lister then begins to add conditions to the Meeting – 10 December, 10:09 PM

Re: For Moriel Facebook please / Acceptance Reply

David Lister

2018/12/10 10:09 PM

To: marco quintana; GCR TV; Servus Christi; Bill Randles; David Nathan; Jacob Prasch;

Dear Bill:

I am just wondering since you have publicly called David a heretic, and not debating, I am assuming Jacob is debating for both you and himself?

Jacob will be in the states and available late March or early April. Bill we would like to have a Hebrew scholar and one Greek Scholar. Also an independent moderator who is experience in debate moderation not from your church. The videos clips on the debate subject must be shown in unedited fashion of course.

In Peace,
David

Jacob Prasch agrees to David Lister's demands - 10 December, 10:25 PM

Note: Jacob confirms that Bill Randles has already called David Nathan's teachings heretical. How can David then expect a fair hearing at Bill's church?

For Facebook Debate Acceptance

David Lister

2018/12/10 10:25 PM

To: Sergio Martinez; David Nathan; Bill Randles; Marco Quintana;

Having called it heresy himself, I am perplexed why Bill Randles would not want to debate David Nathan in his own church for himself as he himself has already called David Nathan a heretic.

I certainly would however be willing to debate David Nathan over his teachings which, like Bill Randles, I have also determine to be heretical and false concerning the issues specified. The unedited video clips of David Nathan's teachings that precipitated these events must of course be played and challenged by myself and defended by David Nathan in fair, open and moderated doctrinal debate. I am prepared to engage in this debate at the very first slot I have available to do so on my next scheduled visit to North Americas in early Spring.

Standard debate procedure with rebuttals can be followed. Also, one experienced debate moderator independent of either side and independent of the hosting church, one independent co-moderator literate in Biblical Hebrew & one co-moderator literate in New Testament Greek.

In Christ,

JJ Prasch and Moriel

David Nathan insists that the meeting take place in the UKs - 11 December, 11:38 AM

From: David Nathan
Sent: Tuesday, December 11, 2018 11:38 AM
To: Bill Randles ; Jacob Prasch
Cc: David L. ; marco quintana ; GCR TV ; Servus Christi ; Sergio Martinez ; Deborah Menelaws ; Stewart Menelaws ; Jane Pedersen ; Chris Pedersen ; John Hayward ; Paul Williams ; Paul Sherbird ; Thomas John ; Deon Esterhuizen ; Dave Borlase ; Simon Wyatt ; Ian Huxham ; Sally Richardson ; John Angliss ; Geoff Farnell ; Tony Pearce ; Charles Mugenyi ; Steve Mitchell ; BERYL HUNTER ; Wayne Allen ; Kevin Mcgalpine ; Rev. John Jones ; adam longfield ; Malcolm Harris. ; John Davy
Subject: Meeting

Dear Bill

Thank you for getting all parties to agree to meet and resolve the issues that have arisen over the last few months. This can only bring correction and repentance were needed and most importantly, healing to the Church as a whole, something that I have desired from the very outset which is evidenced in all my responses to Jacob and Moriel.

Having reflected overnight on the proposed meeting, which I am absolutely committed to, I would like to recommend that the following be taken into consideration as it is I who have to defend myself according to both Titus 3:10 and in a sense 1 Timothy 5:19 against numerous accusations.

Firstly and most importantly, I would like the meeting to take place in the UK, at a central venue that Jacob approves of. This is not negotiable for me and should be agreeable for Jacob who is resident in the UK. Some of the reasons for this are;

- Both parties have a strong support base in the UK whereas only one has one in the USA.
- I am able to easily call witnesses to testify in the UK as many are based there. The monies Believers in Grace would save in paying our airfares and accommodation could be used for you to travel to the UK where you are greatly loved and respected.
- All the council members of CMFI are resident in the UK and they too have strongly urged their members to avoid me. I have the right to be addressed by them and be able to make a defence.
- There are numerous highly respected Greek and Hebrew scholars if required, some whom are well known to Jacob.
- If Jacob's claims that I am a heretic are correct and to be avoided, then it must be before those where I have ministered most often for the protection of their churches.

Due to the numerous accusations that have been levelled against me by Jacob and others, I request that the meeting deal with each of the doctrinal concerns that have been raised over the last 4 to 5 months and not be limited to the two you initially suggested.

The accusation that I call myself an apostle needs to be addressed and dealt with too. Jacob has mentioned in numerous emails that I have been "blacklisted" from numerous churches both in the UK, USA, Australia and South Africa. I request a letter from each of the churches he refers to, from the senior pastor, stating the reason why they no longer want me in their churches as I have a right to defend myself against these accusations also. For example, a letter from Steve Mitchell from FBC in Columbus Ohio stating the reasons why he as the senior pastor will never have me back based on what I have taught at his church. The reason for this is that some churches will not have me back because I they are cessationists or I taught against OSAS or they are strongly pre-trip in regards the Rapture, or a combination of all three. The reality that those ministers outside of the UK will probably not be able to attend, necessitates that their letters reach me (copies to all on this mailing list is suggested) well before the date of the meeting that I have the opportunity to give a rebuttal to their letters and receive a further response.

Finally, that the manner and spirit in which this whole affair has been dealt with be addressed and were parties have acted in a manner contrary to Scripture, they are held accountable.

I trust that the above, which I believe to be fair in light of all that has transpired, is acceptable to you.

Sincerely,

David Nathan
david@bolm.co.za
www.bolm.co.za

Immediately David Lister objects to my request that we meet in the UK– 11 December, 12:44 PM

Re: Meeting

David Lister

2018/12/11 12:44 PM

To: Bill Randles; Jacob Prasch; David Nathan;

Cc: marco quintana; GCR TV; Servus Christi; Sergio Martinez; Deborah Menelaws (deb@bethelcommunications.com); Stewart Menelaws (stu@studioscotland.com); Jane Pedersen; Chris Pedersen; John Hayward; Paul Williams; Paul Sherbird; Thomas John; Deon Esterhuizen; Dave Borlase; Simon Wyatt; Ian Huxham; Sally Richardson; John Angliss; Geoff Farnell; Tony Pearce; Charles Mugenyi; Steve Mitchell; BERYL HUNTER (lifeline.wigan2@btinternet.com); Wayne Allen; Kevin Mcgalpine (kevin.mcgalpine@gmail.com); Rev. John Jones; adam longfield; Malcolm Harris; John Davy;

Dear Pastor Randles

An invitation was extended by you on behalf of your church to host a theological debate between David Nathan and Jacob Prasch.

Your invitation was immediately accepted in writing by both parties - David Nathan and Jacob Prasch respectively. We stand by our acceptance of what you proposed and what David Nathan has already likewise stated in writing he has also accepted.

You agreed to host the event at your church and to cover air fare costs (and of course the debate can be live streamed globally).

This is the proposal you extended and this is what Jacob Prasch and David Nathan have both already agreed to; End of story. Nothing more, nothing less. In accordance with The Command of Jesus: Our yeah must be yeah and nay must be nay anything further than this comes from the evil one (Matt. 5:37). We look forward to the debate as already mutually agreed to in writing by both David Nathan and Jacob Prasch.

Since both parties at once agreed to your proposal and invitation, our acceptance has already been posted in the Public Domain.

On behalf of Moriel I gratefully acknowledge your efforts to bring resolution to this conflict.

In Christ,

David Lister
(Moriel)

Dave Borlase from IFB defends my request that the meeting take place in the UKs - 11 December, 01:10 AM

Re: Meeting

Intercessors For Britain

2018/12/11 01:10 PM

To: David Lister;

Cc: Bill Randles; Jacob Prasch; David Nathan; marco quintana; GCR TV; Servus Christi; Sergio Martinez; Deborah Menelaws (deb@bethelcommunications.com); Stewart Menelaws (stu@studioscotland.com); Jane Pedersen; Chris Pedersen; John Hayward; Paul Williams; Paul Sherbird; Thomas John; Deon Esterhuizen; Simon Wyatt; Ian Huxham; Sally Richardson; John Angliss; Geoff Farnell; Tony Pearce; Charles Mugenyi; Steve Mitchell; BERYL HUNTER (lifeline.wigan2@btinternet.com); Wayne Allen; Kevin Mcgalpine (kevin.mcgalpine@gmail.com);

Dear Pastor Randles and David Lister

Is it not the case, what we would all rather see is public repentance and humility. This sort of debate could be useful in the future, but rather than promoting the humility that has been lacking and reconciliation, this would give platforms and prominence to two men you have felt right to publicly correct over the last few months.

Would both men not benefit from a time of reflection and allowing the Lord to search them, for David over the things he has said and taught; and for Jacob over the things we have raised directly with him and some others. We are still waiting for anything like an appropriate response for the very serious issues we have raised. I think to of the number of people who have suffered from this whole situation, how will it be viewed when a platform is given to the one who has abused them? Should this matter not wait until both sides have publicly put things right. It may rather lead to these two men, to forgiveness and even sharing a platform together again, rather than squaring up against each other.

I submit this for consideration to those much more qualified and experienced than me to judge.

Every Blessing and much love in Him
Dave Borlase

David Lister reacts to Dave Boralse now insinuating that I am influenced by Satan – 11 December, 01:20 PM

Re: Meeting

David Lister

2018/12/11 01:20 PM

To: Intercessors For Britain;

Cc: Bill Randles; Jacob Prasch; David Nathan; marco quintana; GCR TV; Servus Christi; Sergio Martinez; Deborah Menelaws (deb@bethelcommunications.com); Stewart Menelaws (stu@studioscotland.com); Jane Pedersen; Chris Pedersen; John Hayward; Paul Williams; Paul Sherbird; Thomas John; Deon Esterhuizen; Simon Wyatt; Ian Huxham; Sally Richardson; John Angliss; Geoff Farnell; Tony Pearce; Charles Mugenyi; Steve Mitchell; BERYL HUNTER (lifeline.wigan2@btinternet.com); Wayne Allen; Kevin Mccalpine (kevin.mccalpine@gmail.com);

Dear Dave:

Isn't it simple, Our yeah must be yeah and nay must be nay anything further than this comes from the evil one (Matt. 5:37).

The issue for right now is and what David agreed to, a debate on his doctrine, the root and main cause of this whole conflict, otherwise none of this would have ever happened.

David

Dave Borlasse responds to David Lister that Jacob has much to defend himself which is David Nathan's position too - 11 December, 02:01 AM

Re: Meeting

Intercessors For Britain

2018/12/11 02:01 PM

To: David Lister;

Cc: Bill Randles; Jacob Prasch; David Nathan; marco quintana; GCR TV; Servus Christi; Sergio Martinez; Deborah Menelaws (deb@bethelcommunications.com); Stewart Menelaws (stu@studioscotland.com); Jane Pedersen; Chris Pedersen; John Hayward; Paul Williams; Paul Sherbird; Thomas John; Deon Esterhuizen; Simon Wyatt; Ian Huxham; Sally Richardson; John Angliss; Geoff Farnell; Tony Pearce; Charles Mugenyi; Steve Mitchell; BERYL HUNTER (lifeline.wigan2@btinternet.com); Wayne Allen; Kevin Mccalpine (kevin.mccalpine@gmail.com);

Dear David

(Last reply from me)

This "conflict" or "war" as Jacob has taken to describe it, is exactly the problem.

To blame David for Jacob's behaviour or even to justify to Jacob's attacks which you have been provided with evidence of, not David but on women, demonstrates what has gone wrong here.

So far, yourself and Jacob have made no serious response to the evidence of abuse presented before you. If you believe that Christian life and ministry is only about doctrine and not about behaviour, what hope is there for the future of Moriel? Even now there continues to be an effort, Amos's personal attack just now included, to sidestep this serious behaviour? Is a debate needed for David to put his theology right? Is Jacob the only one to can hold him to account?

The reason I raised my objection is based on the fact, I've had to see and hear of the suffering of, particularly sisters in Christ, from Jacob. To put Jacob on the platform, to hold him up as the best person to hold David to account on doctrine, while continuing to refuse to answer for his documented treatment of others, could surely only bring hurt to those who have already suffered, and shame to the wider Body of Christ.

As to who I speak for, well if I have to be alone voice speaking up for righteousness, so be it. I'm far from perfect, the Lord knows, I'll make mistakes like wading into this nonsense. But those sheep that have been hurt by Jacob's abuse, I'll speak up for them, even if I have to do so alone. If that sounds self serving so be it. however, the amount abusive emails I receive, whether Jacob or his followers (no doubt inspired by the example they have been set), it doesn't really feel like this is in my interest.

I hope and trust my voice won't be alone one, this doesn't have to and shouldn't be a matter of division in the Body of Christ, we should all willingly receive gentle and private correction, both on doctrine and behaviour. Its only when we become resistant to correction that there is strife in the Body.

As promised, this is my last email on the subject, I leave it to other brothers to make any further response.

May the Lord have mercy on us all

In Love

Dave

David Nathan reminds David Lister that already in October Jacob had rejected any desire to meet and resolve his issues - 11 December, 01:22 AM

Re: Meeting

David Nathan

2018/12/11 01:22 PM

To: David Lister; Bill Randles; Jacob Prasch;

Cc: marco quintana; GCR TV; Servus Christi; Sergio Martinez; Deborah Menelaws (deb@bethelcommunications.com); Stewart Menelaws (stu@studioscotland.com); Jane Pedersen; Chris Pedersen; John Hayward; Paul Williams; Paul Sherbird; Thomas John; Deon Esterhuizen; Dave Borlase; Simon Wyatt; Ian Huxham; Sally Richardson; John Angliss; Geoff Farnell; Tony Pearce; Charles Mugenyi; Steve Mitchell; BERYL HUNTER (lifeline.wigan2@btinternet.com); Wayne Allen; Kevin Mcgalpine (kevin.mcgallpine@gmail.com); Rev. John Jones; adam longfield; Malcolm Harris.; John Davy;



View slide show

Bill and David

It can be argued that the first invitation to meet was initiated and made by Rev John Jones on the 14th of October, copy attached. This was rejected by Jacob (Copy Attached). David Lister's inference that my request to change the venue is of the evil one highlights my concern of having a meeting in Moriel's "backyard" so to speak and is indicative of the spirit I have faced for 5 months from Moriel.

The points I mentioned for having the meeting in the UK are not unreasonable and will ensure a "level playing field". This a non-negotiable and if David Lister believes that this reflects the nature of the enemy then he is most welcome to add that to the list of charges too.

Kind regards

David Nathan

david@bolm.co.za

www.bolm.co.za

David Lister responds to David Nathan's email – 11 December, 02:15 PM

Re: Meeting

David Lister

2018/12/11 02:15 PM

To: Bill Randles; Jacob Prasch; David Nathan;

Cc: marco quintana; GCR TV; Servus Christi; Sergio Martinez; Deborah Menelaws (deb@bethelcommunications.com); Stewart Menelaws (stu@studioscotland.com); Jane Pedersen; Chris Pedersen; John Hayward; Paul Williams; Paul Sherbird; Thomas John; Deon Esterhuizen; Dave Borlase; Simon Wyatt; Ian Huxham; Sally Richardson; John Angliss; Geoff Farnell; Tony Pearce; Charles Mugenyi; Steve Mitchell; BERYL HUNTER (lifeline.wigan2@btinternet.com); Wayne Allen; Kevin Mcgalpine (kevin.mcgallpine@gmail.com); Rev. John Jones; adam longfield; Malcolm Harris.; John Davy;

David, even if Jacob rejected the first offer, you could have rejected this offer here! But the fact of the matter is you accepted the debate at the place and the topic. No one twisted your arm, no one made you reply. The quote comes from Scripture and I believe applies as now that you accepted the debate you want to change the place and the topics the very things you said yes to. So, how is the Scripture verse wrong? BTW I asked for neutral moderators, neutral language experts so that Bill would not be seen as against you. I do believe Bill wants unity in Truth, His Word (John 17:17). The playing field is level in the debate. Live stream goes out to the world everyone can be there. We have language experts in the US. Also, you don't call witnesses in a debate, that's a trial, you can introduce evidence that supports your doctrine. The offer of airfare was very generous, opening up his church was nice. You have people in the states that support you and besides this event will be live streamed and will reach people worldwide not just locally.

David it is important to first establish Jesus Word and doctrine and with the Truth established at this debate, on your doctrine, the core issue here, we can then move forward on the other issues you are wish to have discussed.

We look forward to seeing you there.

In Peace,

David

David Lister send out an email stating that David Nathan is in the wrong for requiring the meeting to take place in the UK in order to get a fair hearing
– 11 December, 03:34 PM

It has already been established that Bill agrees with Jacob that David Nathan is heretical, yet they insist that he will get a fair hearing at Bill's church.

To Those Concerned

Jacob Prasch

2018/12/11 03:34 PM

To: David Lister; Bill Randles; marcomoriel@gmail.com; Servus Christi; Sergio Martinez; Stu/Studio (stu@studioscotland.com); Jane Pedersen (morielcanada@hotmail.com); John Haller; Paul Sherbird; GCR TV; Simon Wyatt; Ian Huxham; Sally Richardson; Geoff Farnell; Tony Pearce; Charles Jardine; Steve Davis; adam longfield; Malcolm Betts; Dave B; David Nathan; John Angliss; Paul Williams; Pierre Moseley; Margaret Godwin;

David Nathan has already in writing spoken for himself and agreed to a doctrinal debate to be funded and hosted by Bill Randles' church; Believers In Grace.

David Borlase cannot speak for David Nathan (much less - speak for him after the fact). David Nathan speaks for himself and BOLM. Dave Borlase speaks for himself and IFB. Jacob Prasch speaks for himself and Moriel. Bill Randles speaks for himself and for Believers in Grace.

What Dave Borlase means by "we would rather see" has absolutely no relevance whatsoever to anything. It is none of his business. He is not signatory to the acceptances by David Nathan and Jacob Prasch, he was included in anything to do with the mutually accepted debate invitation and he cannot interfere with the terms. It is beyond his domain.

In writing both David Nathan and Jacob Prasch have agreed to accept the invitation to the doctrinal debate at Bill Randle's church. The invitation involved Bread of Life Ministries (David Nathan), Moriel Ministries (Jacob Prasch), and Believers in Grace (Bill Randles). It did not involve Intercessors for Britain. As is documented, the invitation was formally accepted by David Nathan and Jacob Prasch. Scripturally, "yes" must mean "yes" and "no" must mean "no". Jesus said that anything more comes from Satan.

David Nathan has already enthusiastically in writing agreed to the debate as proposed by Bill Randles & his church and Jacob Prasch has accepted.

We look forward to a formal, fairly monitored theological debate where David Nathan's teaching can be viewed unedited and defended by him. As David Nathan has also acknowledged, the presence of independent co-moderators in biblical languages will be present and the event can be live streamed and video & audio recorded for all posterity. A debate forum is standard. It is of course a debate and not a trial. It addresses issues, not personalities (eg. an opponent's views may be called 'heretical', but the opponent may not be attacked personally). The topics are now established, and the moderators will keep the debaters on point with co-equal right of non-interrupted rebuttal. As already uniformly expressed and agreed in writing by Bill Randles, David Nathan, and Jacob Prasch - we look forward to the debate which all three parties have already unequivocally accepted (as is fully documented).

In Christ,

Moriel Ministries
Matthew 5:37

(David Lister)

**Bill Randles writes that he understands David Nathan's concerns about meeting in the USA and gives support to the meeting being in the UK -
11 December, 05:21 AM**

Re: Meeting

Bill Randles

2018/12/11 05:21 PM

To: David Nathan;

David the only meeting I could facilitate would be the one I offered here in the church. I understand your concerns and hope the debate goes forward, but you and Jacob would have to work out an English venue, I am not capable of that kind of logistics. Of course I would try to get to England to be there...
I really hope for healing and reconciliation, all things are possible, Pastor bill

Jacob Prasch then responds by calling David Nathan a liar, heretic and hypocrite whilst lashing out at others – 11 December, 08:05 PM

Re: Meeting

Jacob Prasch

2018/12/11 08:05 PM

To: David Nathan;

Cc: Bill Randles; David L; marco quintana; GCR TV; Servus Christi; Sergio Martinez; Deborah Menelaws (deb@bethelcommunications.com); Stewart Menelaws (stu@studioscotland.com); Jane Pedersen; Chris Pedersen; John Hayward; Paul Williams; Paul Sherbird; Thomas John; Deon Esterhuizen; Dave Borlase; Simon Wyatt; Ian Huxham; Sally Richardson; John Angliss; Geoff Farnell; Tony Pearce; Charles Mugenyi; Steve Mitchell; BERYL HUNTER (lifeline.wigan2@btinternet.com); Wayne Allen; Kevin Mcgalpine (kevin.mcgaltine@gmail.com); Rev. John Jones; adam longfield; Malcolm Harris; John Davy;

David Nathan is a bearer of false witness and a heretic. But it is his doctrine he agreed in writing to debate at Bill Randles church. I will be there as we both agreed for a the properly moderated doctrinal debate on the heretical dogma that is the source of all of this nonsense.

Addressing this, as Bill invited us to and as David Nathan agreed to, is the mutually agreed upon way forward and only way to resolve everything without any reference to Dave Borlase, John Jones or anyone else. Bill Randles made an offer and David Nathan and I both accepted.

The usual hypocritical efforts to make the issue personal in order to evade the doctrinal by Dave Borlase is why I ignore such a hypocrite as a person worthy of no respect. IFB will decline under what is already his doctrinally and ethically failed leadership. This is a tragedy,

Meanwhile, the Jezebel spirit is the feminism of the fallen world infiltrating the Church. If one opposes a woman, feminists scream 'misogyny'.

I am therefore left to wonder if allegations that I mistreated Sally Richardson by urging her in love to avoid the heretic David Nathan are coming from Sally herself or from the malicious tongue of the unprincipled woman in Scotland who defames me on social media while at the same time profiting from her sale of filmed material featuring me which we twice asked her to stop selling.

I normally do not want 'the right hand to know what the left hand is doing'. However given this "mistreating Sally Richardson" rubbish churned out by Dave Borlase and that unprincipled woman in Scotland, I suggest anyone interested ask Sally who mistreated her terribly as to leap to her aid when her daughter tragically died in Australia and Sally did not have the cash to repatriate her daughter's remains back to the UK to bury her? If I mistreated Sally so badly, ask her who flew her daughter's body back to England so she could have a funeral and bury her corpse on English soil. Yes, that is how badly I treated Sally.

There is no euphemism for a liar.
There is no euphemism for a heretic.
And there is no euphemism for a hypocrite.

JJP

Knowing that he will never get a fair hearing from Moriel or Jacob, David Nathan writes a final letter to Jacob – 12 December, 11:42 PM

Re: Meeting

David Nathan

2018/12/12 11:42 AM

To: Jacob Prasch;

Cc: Bill Randles; David L.; marco quintana; GCR TV; Servus Christi; Sergio Martinez;

Dear Jacob

From the very first email you sent dismissing me from Moriel, I have responded to you respectfully, reconciliatory and in a manner that upholds Christian conduct. From the beginning I have sought to meet with you and resolve your allegations which you have continually rejected with contempt until Monday the 10th. Last week, after months of futile efforts to try resolve this conflict, I exposed the untruths that you were broadcasting against me on every platform available to you.

Now that Bill has stepped forward to offer to mediate, you are suddenly interested in debate. I have sought discussion, mediation, repentance where required and conclusion with reconciliation which is the biblical way. When I insisted on meeting in the UK, you then proceed to publish an email denouncing me as a liar, heretic and hypocrite for wanting to make changes to the initial invitation. You then email proof that I had agreed to the meeting and then changed the conditions to validate your character assassination.

May I remind you that at 09:55 pm on 10th May you accepted Bills offer, as shown below, just as I had accepted it earlier that evening. Then at 10:09 pm, David Lister emails Bill requesting a Hebrew and Greek scholar be present and that only certain video clips be shown (below) thus changing the terms of Bills offer. So in essence, Moriel changed the conditions of Bill's offer just 14 minutes after you **unconditionally accepted it** and then you have the gall to call me a heretic, liar and hypocrite because I too made certain requests. Is that not double standards or are you unable to see your very own hypocrisy?

Jacob, this will be my last correspondence to you or anyone else on this matter, I can assure you. I have reached out to you humbly from the beginning asking for dialogue and being prepared to repent and retract teachings that are proven to be false and heretical. I reached out in brotherly love willing to be corrected, you responded by declaring war on me. In humility I responded to your initial charges. You responded with video after video labelling me a heretic, false teacher and seeking to blacklist me from the churches that you had influence over. In this you have succeeded and the victory is yours, well done.

PLEASE NOTE, the victory is yours and yours alone, shared only with Moriel Ministries and it's most ardent supporters. The victory is not God's... most definitely not the Lord's nor the churches nor the hundreds of precious saints that have been devastated by your callous senseless war. Now, as the dust begins to settle and you survey your victory, perhaps you will pause and gaze upon the carnage you have wrought to the very souls of those you were once called to feed, tend, build-up and encourage and ask yourself, "have I conducted myself in a manner befitting a Christian Minister, did I act with integrity, love, humility, meekness, kindness, gentleness and self-control. Did I correct my brother with all long suffering and humility as instructed by Paul in 2 Timothy? Did I love him enough as you claimed in one of your videos to want to meet with him until you were absolutely convinced that he had no desire to engage or repent?"

Or do you just see victory for yourself, despite the cost, the wrecked lives (I speak not of myself, this I can assure you), the scattered sheep? In all this you have acted in the most ungodly manner I have ever witnessed in my Christian walk to the point I must ask myself, is there any evidence of Christ left in him? For years you have acted in this manner and being allowed to get away with it without ever been held accountable by your peers. They are in a sense just as guilty as you are.

How I have prayed for reconciliation and resolution but in hindsight it was never going to be forthcoming but love nevertheless hopes all things.

Again well done, you are victorious. You and Moriel and all who remain associated with you. But know this, the Lord who weeps for His Body does not celebrate with you. There is however one who is celebrating with you, him and all his legions. Do you really want to receive praise from him or would you not rather repent of your conduct and receive praise from Him who Lords over all?

Please exercise some self control and do not respond to me as I have already admitted defeat and declare that you are the victor in this protracted, one sided war of yours. I urge you to rather use the energy that you would normally expend writing a response or making a new malicious video to come before the Lord and ask as David asked,

"Search me, O God, and know my heart; try me, and know my anxieties; and see if there is any wicked way in me, and lead me in the way everlasting."